

Why pay for what you don't use?

Power factor is being forgotten in many businesses nowadays. *Julian Grant* discusses what it is, and why you should be constantly monitoring and correcting it


While business owners and accountants are worrying about energy prices, many are completely unaware that for the sake of some simple monitoring and correction equipment, their business may be falling short of being electrically efficient.

Recent cases discovered by a renowned power quality improvement service have identified many examples where as much as half of the electricity being consumed by businesses is going to waste.

High energy bills are only part of the problem. Power factor also impacts on the reliability of the network itself and can cause a variety of electrical issues that may result in the early failure of capital equipment. This equipment often gets replaced at great expense without the root cause ever being observed or identified. Poor power factor can also impact heavily on the capacity to add new loads when a business expands.

Some electrical equipment used in industrial and commercial buildings requires an amount of reactive power in addition to real or active power in order to work effectively. These tend to be items with copper windings in them, especially transformers, motors, induction heaters, arc welders and compressors, and even fluorescent lighting.

Reactive power (kVar) is the vector difference between real or active power (kW) and the total power consumed, which is called apparent power and measured in kVA. Power




factor is a ratio of the real power that is used to do work and the apparent power that is supplied to the circuit.

Consider a beer

If you consider a pint of beer, the whole glass that you pay for is the apparent power, the bit you want most (the beer) is the active power, and the bit you want as little of as possible (the head) is the reactive power.

A full pint with no head would represent a power factor of 1, or unity power factor, and in that situation, there would be no reactive power. In



reality, a power factor greater than 0.95 is generally aimed for, 0.98 if you can get it. A pint with a nice small head on it!

According to The Carbon Trust it is not uncommon for industrial installations to be operating at power factors between 0.7 and 0.8, which is surprising since measuring power factor is not at all difficult. It can be routinely measured using portable test instruments, or alternatively, can be permanently monitored in real-time with constantly displayed values, while also showing a multitude of other useful parameters including voltage, current and energy consumption.

Power factor correction

Similarly, while specification of a power factor correction (PFC) system requires knowledge of several factors including the voltage level and typical usage of the reactive loads on-site, the usage profile across the site, and the power quality required by the on-site loads, all of this is easily measured and calculated. PFC systems are a fraction of the cost of the potential savings they can bring.

The simplest form of PFC involves fitting capacitors. It is worth shopping around and taking expert advice on the system that will suit you. If a single machine has a poor power factor, capacitors can be connected in parallel with the device, so that they compensate for the poor power factor whenever the machine is switched on.

If the power factor of a site is permanently poor and no single item of equipment is solely responsible, fixed PFC can be connected across the main power supply to the premises.

Where many machines are switching on and off at various times, the power factor may be subject to frequent change. In this case the amount of PFC needs to be controlled automatically. In other words, the banks of capacitors need to be selectively switched in and out of the power circuit appropriately. There are various solutions on the market for performing this capacitor bank switching automatically. ■

Metal fabricator makes savings thanks to monitoring

A metal fabrication business discovered that its average power factor was 0.73 following a four-day monitoring process.

A power factor of 0.7 requires approximately 43 per cent more current to do the same thing as an installation with unity power factor. A power factor of 0.5 requires approximately 200 per cent current to handle the same load.

After the installation of appropriate automatic power factor correction equipment the average power factor, monitored over a week, increased to 0.98. The apparent power was reduced by just over 13 per cent. Current

dropped by between 10 per cent and 17 per cent per phase, and reactive power was reduced from 119kVar to less than 8kVar. These reductions were made even with a slight increase in demand during the six months between the initial measurements and the corrective action.

Based on a real to apparent power difference prior to the power factor correction of 38.7 and a real to apparent power difference after the power factor correction of 2, and assuming an electricity cost of £0.15 per kWh, this would equate to a saving of over £8,800 per year in reduced electricity charges.